

Review of: "Maintaining Sustainable, Affordable, and Low-Cost Housing for Middle- and Low-Income Classes in Uganda"

Charity Uzuegbu¹

¹ University of Nigeria

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1) Abstract-This should be reworked to incorporate study design, method of data analysis, some findings, and recommendations.
- 2) Study Objectives. The terms used are too broad. The objectives should be stated in measurable terms. For example, number one objective may read "to identify the current housing challenges in Uganda."
- 3) The problem was not clearly stated. There was a mix-up between middle/low-income classes and marginalized groups. The focus should be clear. Both terms do not mean the same thing.
- 3) Literature review-The review did not cover the required sections. This should be reworked to incorporate the relevant subtopics. It should incorporate the following with illustration about Uganda-housing patterns, mode of acquisition, challenges, benefits of affordable housing patterns, and housing policies. The section should also discuss the situations in other countries, especially middle- and low-income countries.
- 4) Methodology- The policy documents to be used and the experts/stakeholders to be interviewed should be specified and included in the methodology.
- 5) Results- It did not incorporate the findings of the study. The findings should be incorporated, especially data generated from the interviews and policy documents.
- 6) Discussion-The paper should be discussed incorporating relevant findings.
- 7) Recommendations- No recommendations. The authors should make some recommendations that will help improve the housing situation in Uganda based on the findings.
- 8) References- This section should be done alphabetically.