

# Review of: "Corralling a Chimera: A Critical Review of the Term Social Infrastructure"

Juheon Lee<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Midwestern State University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

This paper provides a systematic review of social infrastructure research using nearly 150 articles published since the 1960s. The paper demonstrates that the earlier scholars who used the term *social infrastructure* had very different policy outcomes in mind and that the term is still being used for different meanings in recent studies while the majority indicates *the spaces of network*. The authors did a great job in highlighting the need for clarity in using this term and explaining why the term is suitable, or not suitable, for each of the five identified categories. I think that this is a timely study considering the rapid growth of publications these days and that the analysis is accurate.

It is clear in the paper that the authors are mindful of the replicability of this study; however, one thing that can be clarified (even further) is the coding method. Studies based on content analysis are usually expected to report some details about coders and what they did to ensure the inter-coder reliability. Moreover, some readers may be curious about how exactly the five categories were identified and if all articles fit clearly into those categories.

Despite some minor questions, I believe that the research question and the findings of this study provide insights into the future studies of social infrastructure, benefiting the scholars, practitioners, and policymakers.