

Review of: "Cost-Effectiveness Analysis: Ultrasound with Alpha-Fetoprotein versus Ultrasound Alone for At-Risk Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients with and without Cirrhosis Progression: A Systematic Review"

Tania Siu Xiao¹

1 Thomas Jefferson University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Overall, the idea of this paper, objectives, and methods looks great.

However, is this paper finished, or will it be done in the future? The results and conclusions are missing. Therefore, the importance of this research paper has yet to be determined. The background is too long and can be shortened and more precise.

Minor grammar errors. The abbreviations need to be correctly used. For example, "Ultrasound (U/S)" and "alphafetoprotein (AFP)" have to be used consistently throughout the paper. Beware that some terminology has changed, such as NASH to MASH (Metabolic dysfunction-associated steatohepatitis).

If this paper is not finished but will be finished soon, remember to change the tense of the verbs from present to past.

Good luck!

Qeios ID: MQHLC2 · https://doi.org/10.32388/MQHLC2