

Review of: "Climate Change Denial Theories, Skeptical Arguments, and the Role of Science Communication"

Mark Harris Zuknik¹

¹ Universiti Sains Malaysia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

In this work, the authors attempt to introduce the reader to the main climate change denial theories currently in circulation in the meta-narrative sphere. And to a certain extent, the authors have achieved their objective commendably. Briefly, the essay is divided into three main parts: in the first part, the authors outline the main climate change denial theories; in the second part, the authors make a case for climate change by citing the various research supporting the evidence for climate change; while in the third part of the essay, the authors attempt to provide prescriptive actions for scientists and activists to propagate the message of climate change. Based on the division of the essay into these three parts, it is clear that the authors attempted to cover a large area within a 9-page essay. As such, each individual part of the essay feels like an introductory piece, and not a true dissection of the topic at hand. For example, one could write a 10-page essay on the various climate change denial theories/narratives currently being circulated online (and the origins of those theories/narratives) only, and one would have barely scratched the surface of the topic. This essay/article, therefore, would be effective as an introductory piece for school students and those previously unacquainted with climate change denial theories, as it provides the reader with an introduction to the main narratives in circulation at the time of publication.