

Review of: "Implementing Machine Learning to predict the 10-year risk of Cardiovascular Disease"

Ayan Chatterjee¹

1 Universitetet i Agder

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The study should undergo a thorough revision before being published. The revision process should commence with the abstract and extend through to the conclusion. During this revision, the authors should emphasize the identified gaps in the existing literature and elucidate how their findings address these gaps, providing a clearer understanding of the study's relevance. Furthermore, an additional dataset should be incorporated into the study using the same models to validate and enhance the precision, performance, and accuracy of these models. This will enable the proposed models to be applicable to a broader range of datasets with varying variables. Finally, the conclusion section should be expanded to provide a more in-depth discussion of the role of machine learning in predicting cardiovascular disease risk factors.

Qeios ID: MTZGQM · https://doi.org/10.32388/MTZGQM