

Peer Review

Review of: "Impact of Telemedicine on Post-Bariatric Surgery Outcomes and Patient Satisfaction During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Retrospective Study"

Francisco G Emmerich¹

1. Federal University of Espírito Santo, Espírito Santo, Brazil

Oct 25, 2024

<https://doi.org/10.32388/A2Y13P>

Review of: Impact of Telemedicine on Post-Bariatric Surgery Outcomes and Patient Satisfaction During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Retrospective Observational Study

This review refers to Preprint v1, which is not current.

Overall rating

Clarity

5/5

Novelty

4/5

Impact

4/5

Merits

Cross-disciplinary relevance

more

[Francisco G Emmerich¹](#)



Dear Editors and Authors,

I will repeat the comments I made in my last review because, in the version of the manuscript that I opened today (February 5, 2025), I did not see the authors addressing my mandatory “**Minor revision**”.

GENERAL COMMENTS - Manuscript QEIOS - 2024

The manuscript entitled “*Impact of Telemedicine on Post-Bariatric Surgery Outcomes and Patient Satisfaction During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Retrospective Observational Study*”, by Mendes et al., was submitted to the journal QEIOS. In my opinion, the manuscript is very well written, it is well documented by experimental results, and is suitable to be published in the journal QEIOS.

I am suggesting that the manuscript is accepted with “Minor revision”, but I suggest that the “Minor revision” must be mandatory, as I will explain below.

Mandatory “Minor revision”

Since it is well-known in experimental sciences that 1) "The number of significant figures in the uncertainty should be one or, at most, two" and 2) "The last significant figure of the measurement must be in the same decimal place as the last significant figure of the uncertainty," I suggest that the authors revise Table 1, Table 2, and Table 3.

For example, in Table 1:

Instead of writing:

Female Male Total

Sex 68 (85%) 12 (15%) 80 (100%)

Age 42,3±9,86 45±10,3 42,7±9,91

%EWL 83,6±22,6 74,2±14 82,2±21,7

They HAVE TO write:

Female Male Total

Sex 68 (85%) 12 (15%) 80 (100%)

Age 42,3±9,9 45±10 42,7±9,9

%EWL 84±23 74±14 82±22

And so on in Table 2 and Table 3.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.