

Review of: "Efficacy of Anogeissus leiocarpus as a Therapeutic Agent for Some Pathogenic Bacteria"

Soukaina Abdelwahed¹

¹ Université de la Manouba

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. comments for result section:

- The statement that certain phytochemicals were not present in the aqueous extract qualitatively but then listing quantities for the same is confusing. Clarify whether these compounds were absent or present in lower quantities.
- Be consistent with terminology and units throughout the section. For example, "mg/mL" should be used consistently rather than switching between "mg/mL" and "mg/ml."
- Correct the typographical error "exceat" to "except." "...exceat for E. coli ATCC 35218 and Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923 on which"...

2. Comments for discussion and conclusion section:

- The discussion provides a good overview of the phytochemicals present in *A. leiocarpus* and their potential pharmacological effects. However, it should include specific examples of how these phytochemicals contribute to antibacterial activity, supported by references.
- Overall, the discussion section is somewhat verbose and could benefit from more concise writing. Breaking up long sentences and using subheadings can improve readability and coherence.

Repetition of ideas, such as the presence of phytochemicals and their importance, should be minimized to keep the discussion focused and impactful.

- The potential of *A. leiocarpus* for drug development should be elaborated upon. You should discuss the steps needed for translating these findings into practical applications, such as clinical trials and formulation development, to strengthen this part.