

Review of: "Improving agriculture and food security in Africa: Can the one health approach be the answer?"

Custodio Efraim Matavel

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper discusses the integration of one health approach in African agriculture. In my opinion, this is a relevant discussion and the paper is well written. However, the paper fails to provide a precise rationale for the review.

It's difficult to understand the contribution of this study from the introduction. There are several publications, including reviews, on one health approach in Africa. So why is this review still necessary? Maybe because of the focus on agriculture?? There is still a need for a more explicit description of the research gap.

In the introduction, the authors should explain in which way, "Natural disasters could pose favourable conditions for the multiplication of pathogens, along with the contamination of soil, water, and the environment with heavy metals"? The following sentence also begins with "Natural disasters...", please check.

I understand this was not a systematic literature review, but how did the authors make sure that they included all relevant papers/publications? I believe this is a limitation which is not specific to this paper but I am wondering how did the authors select the relevant literature for this review.