

Review of: "[Essay] The Algorithm; Mind of a Virtual Era – Our Code of Codes"

Nesibe Kantar¹

¹ Ahi Evran University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

In the article, the basic assumption about humans, human evolution, and life shaped by tools is emphasized. I can say that all these assumptions are undoubtedly true when we think backward from the result. But the action point of the algorithms is the designs, not the results of their actions (I would like to express that I never reject the inspiration that the designs get from the results). While thinking about the cybernetic connection between the living and non-living systems, Wiener bases the ethical problems that it will create on design requirements with an algorithmic reflex, not on the finished result.

In short, Like we have discussed the effects of tools on us, we should take action as soon as possible on how we should design it with ethical rules. I think Wiener points to this ethical design advice on re-modifying the human place in the informatics world.

I would like to state that I agree with each of the gains, losses, and dangers in the algorithmic culture section. I would like to add that these are just the tip of the iceberg (for now).

The question of what to do in the face of the problem should be taken into consideration. For example, I would like to draw attention to the need to seek ways to integrate ethical approaches to artificial intelligence, algorithms, and code studies.

In the next step of the article, new ethical approaches that offer a perspective for ethical integration into the digital world can be discussed, and it may well put the current article where it deserves.

In this regard, I can already suggest the 'Flourishing Ethics' theory of Terrell Ward Bynum, an American philosopher who takes its foundations from Aristotle and Wiener.

Congrats to the author for this valuable work.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/225328438_Flourishing_Ethics