

Review of: "Could governmental interventions improve subjective well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic? Findings from 750 street vendors in Cali, Colombia"

Qimeng Cai

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This article analyzes how government intervention can heal the psychological distress of informal workers during the pandemic. My comments are shown as follows.

1.

In the introduction, the impact of the epidemic on small and medium-sized enterprises, workers, etc. has not been systematically organized, and the existing research and gaps should be clarified. The author should also state the importance of informal workers and why study them?

2.

In the literature review, the paper describes the situation and background of Colombia, the author should explain how this section helps formulate the research question. And what we have already known about the link between governmental interventions and psychosocial well-being. What is missing? How your study is going to fill the gap? This part must be reinforced and provide a theoretical basis for the mechanisms.

3.

What is the definition of informal worker and the sample needs to be explained in more detail. And what is the basis for the questionnaire setting of the article? Why are some factors/variables set?

4. The empirical analysis of the articles does not provide enough theoretical evidence to support them.

5.

What are theoretical, policy, and practice implications of the findings?