

# Review of: "Research & Evaluation Framework 2022/23"

Edwin van Teijlingen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Bournemouth University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

This useful outline/overview papers highlights mixed-methods research as one of the six sections. This is useful for potential evaluators, but it assumes that the reader, or evaluator knows enough about both quantitative and qualitative methods. This is not to say that the authors need to add a textbook bit on both quantitative and qualitative research methods, but merely a sentence or two with a few textbook references to guide the reader. This would have been helpful to a novel researcher involved in quality improvement and/or evaluation.

I agree with previous reviewers who have made a similar kind of comment about the general absence of references to several key research elements in the text. Finally, the section heading '**Section 4:** Using Mixed Methodologies' in this otherwise applied article should probably be 'Using mixed-methods', but that is nit-picking.