

Review of: "[Commentary] An Alternative Polity"

Rizwan Raheem Ahmed¹

¹ Indus Institute of Higher Education

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The topic is interesting and has wider practical applications. The authors have put their best efforts into executing this paper. However, I have the following suggestions for the improvement of the undertaken study:

1) The logical sequence of the abstract should be as 1) objectives, 2) methodology, 3) findings, 4) conclusion, and 5) implications. Thus, the authors should also rewrite the abstract in this sequence.

2) The authors did not establish the motivation, significance, and novelty of the undertaken study. The authors are suggested to improve this important factor in the "Introduction" section.

3) The literature should be presented separately and in audit forms, and it should be linked with the objective of this paper. Moreover, very few citations are provided; thus, it is recommended to add relevant and current citations and references throughout the paper. The following citations could be added to the paper:

The Impact of Globalization and Democracy on Social Spending in the South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC) Countries “, *Social Indicators Research*, Vol. 167 (1), 2023, 94-134. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-023-03095-9>

Comparison of Key Economic Indicators of Pakistani Economy: Democratic Governments (FY89–FY99) with Military Regime (FY00–FY05), *Research Journal of Recent Sciences*, Vol. 3 (6): 2014, 46–49. <http://www.isca.in/rjrs/archive/v3/i6/8.ISCA-RJRS-2014-529.pdf>

4) The methodology section should be comprised of different sub-headings, for example, research design, data collection method, sampling frame & sampling strategy, estimation techniques, and published and non-published data gathering. In the methodology section, the data analysis could be discussed in the light of the following paper:

A Comparative Analysis of Multivariate Approaches for Data Analysis in Management Sciences,” *E a M: Economie a Management*, Vol.27 (1), 2024, 192–210. <https://doi.org/10.15240/tul/001/2024-5-001>

5) The discussions section should be added after the results section; the discussions section provides the opportunity for the authors to sell their idea to the readers. The discussions section should be complemented with the previous literature.

6) The Conclusion should be separated from the discussions, and it needs further elaboration; it should be one step ahead of the results.

7) The practical, theoretical, and societal implications should be discussed after the conclusion, and in the light of the

conclusion and discussions.

8) The limitations and potential areas of future studies should be discussed at the end.