

Peer Review

Review of: "Kampung Pelangi Semarang: Its Success, Decline, and the Current Status of the Rainbow Village"

Vicky Ariyanti^{1,2}

1. Erasmus University Rotterdam, Netherlands; 2. Gadjah Mada University (UGM), Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Thank you for the potential article; it is a fresh perspective to see it from a non-urban sciences view. However, the work can still be improved by cutting it into shorter pieces with fewer descriptions and strengthening the analysis part.

It also needs a methodology section to better understand how the authors are conducting this research. I assume that it is a case study approach with a combination of direct observation and the use of secondary data from earlier research.

This sentence on Page 29, or Part 6. Conclusions:

This study summarizes the history of Kampung Pelangi Semarang from its establishment to the present, based on existing literature and the authors' onsite investigation.

This should be declared in the method section.

Aside from that, it also needs some kind of framework. It seems that the story evolves around the research question of why Kampung Pelangi in Semarang used to be successful, but now it is no longer getting any attention. However, it is an open-ended question and needs some boundary limitations to be stated.

Please revise on Page 20: 4. Kampung Pelangi Semarang: Its Success and Recline; it is supposed to be 4. Kampung Pelangi Semarang: Its Success and Decline.

A picture from above ('bird's eye view') to show the whole surrounding of Kampung Pelangi would be better than showing many pictures from spots within the Kampung.

Hopefully, these remarks can be used to improve the work.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.