

Review of: "Hospital's Thermo-neutral Zone for Patient Safety and Climate Change Sustainability"

Tania Rus¹

¹ Technical University of Cluj-Napoca

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear authors,

I've read the manuscript many times, even the revised version in which I see some improvements, but even so the article is quite confusing.

In my opinion the Abstract should be revised. Could authors reflect on the following phrase and explain how it is related to the proposed research "Installing fans in military fortifications in Iraq seems to be a cost-efficient and uncomplicated approach to enhance their resilience against the escalating heat caused by the projected effects of global warming."

The Introduction section doesn't provide a clear background of the manuscript's aim, identified gap, etc.. Also, the introduction focuses on (CGCC) which the research refers to as "Construction Green Building Certification", and then as "Corporate Governance and Corporate Citizenship" in the same paragraph. Two different meanings for the same initials (CGCC)!

The objective (aim) of the research from the Introduction section is confusing since through the manuscript other objectives are stated:

Introduction: "The objective of this research is to provide insight into the interconnectedness across many disciplines within the subject of CGCC. Hence, the objectives of this study are to (1) conduct a comprehensive evaluation and critical examination of the current body of research on CGCC, (2) consolidate the outcomes into a cohesive, multidimensional, and interdisciplinary knowledge framework, and (3) identify potential areas of research that have not been adequately explored, with the aim of suggesting future directions for investigation."

"The primary objective is to discover a quick and cheap approach to measuring how temperature affects people's health and happiness. The other objective is to examine the connections between dusty regions and thermal fluxes and idle heat"

Figure 1 should be more detailed (dimensions, orientation, placement of the equipment). Also, some technical data of the equipment used in the research should be provided (accuracy, range, etc.).

Figure 4 is quite difficult to follow. Please improve it.

The conclusions are not focused on the article's objectives outlined in the introduction .

