

Review of: "Could governmental interventions improve subjective well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic? Findings from 750 street vendors in Cali, Colombia"

Dora Galvez-Cruz¹

¹ Universidad Anáhuac

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

General Overview:

The manuscript delves into a topic that is both interesting and highly relevant to the current academic and societal landscape. However, the paper suffers from a multitude of issues that severely undermine its potential impact and scholarly contribution. These issues range from the quality of the written English to the lack of structured information and the incongruence between the paper's title and its actual content.

English Language Quality:

One of the most immediate concerns is the quality of the written English, which is poor throughout the paper. This impedes comprehension and detracts from the overall credibility of the work. Issues include grammatical errors, awkward phrasing, and frequent typographical mistakes. The paper would greatly benefit from a thorough review by a native English speaker well-versed in academic writing.

Structure and Organization:

The paper also suffers from an excess of unstructured information. It lacks a coherent flow, making it difficult for the reader to follow the argument or even to discern the main points the author aims to make. Concepts are introduced haphazardly without appropriate contextualization or explanation. This results in a convoluted narrative that detracts from the paper's potential impact. Subheadings, logical flow, and clear articulation of each section's purpose could ameliorate these issues.

Title-Content Incongruence:

Another concerning issue is the mismatch between the paper's title and its content. The title suggests a focus on one specific subject matter, yet the paper diverges into various unrelated themes and topics. This incongruence is not only misleading but also diminishes the paper's potential contributions to the field it purports to address.

Relevance and Importance:

Despite these significant drawbacks, it is worth noting that the topic itself is timely and relevant. It addresses a gap in the

literature and has the potential to add value to ongoing academic discussions. However, as it currently stands, the paper is unlikely to contribute meaningfully to the field due to the aforementioned issues.

Recommendations:

1. A complete rewrite is essential to improve the language and make the content understandable and academically rigorous.
2. Consider restructuring the paper entirely to present the information in a more organized and logical manner.
3. Revisit the title to ensure it accurately reflects the content of the paper.
4. Seek the assistance of experts in the field for both content and language editing.

Conclusion:

In its current form, the paper fails to meet the standards of academic rigor and quality. While the topic is indeed interesting and relevant, the paper's impact is significantly marred by poor language, lack of structure, and title-content incongruence. Significant revisions are needed for this manuscript to be considered for publication.