

# Review of: "“They talk to me like a person” Experiences of people with opioid use disorder in an injectable opioid agonist treatment (iOAT) program: A qualitative interview study using interpretive description"

Angel Dillip<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ifakara Health Institute (IHI)

**Potential competing interests:** The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

The introduction is well written, however there is no clear justification on the relevance of understanding client experiences in established iOAT programs. How will understanding on client experiences for example improve care? What is the significance and relevance of the study from different levels of both care provision and clients?

The method section will benefit from the review to look more scientific, the authors mixes methods and study design. I recommend having a “study design” section before the methods. The authors should explain how they used interpretative description with examples.

The Findings section is well written, following issues highlighted in figure 1 which enables the reader to follow different levels of findings. The first sentence in the findings section should be reviewed “23 participants were interviewed” I suppose the authors mean they analysed data from 23 clients, as this was secondary analysis.

Discussion section look goods and study limitations based on the study design are well explained