

Peer Review

Review of: "The Challenge of Economics"

Orlando Gomes¹

1. Instituto Politécnico de Lisboa, Portugal

This article presents a detailed and well-founded critique of the theoretical structure and methodology of Economics as we know it today. According to the author, Economics finds itself constrained by its rigid conventions, which are sometimes difficult to reconcile with reality. The discussion is comprehensive, rigorous, interesting, meaningful, and enjoyable to read. The alternatives proposed by the author to achieve a better understanding of how the economy works are reasonable and offer relevant suggestions on how to reorient theoretical analysis. The author concludes that a reformulation of neoclassical Economics is urgent and critical. In short, it is a very interesting essay, clearly written, presenting valid arguments, and which can only be criticized for ignoring much of the recent literature that already offers explanations and alternative paths to neoclassical Economics, namely the contributions that bring the science of complexity to the field of Economics (see, for example, Holt, R.; J.B. Rosser; and D. Colander (2011). "The Complexity Era in Economics." *Review of Political Economy*, vol. 23(3), pp. 357-369).

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.