

Review of: "Blockchain EV Payment Systems: A Systematic Literature Review in Retail Energy Trading"

Megha Quamara¹

¹ King's College London

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Overall, the paper is well organized. However, the following concerns must be addressed to further improve its quality in order to be considered for publication:

1. The key contributions of the paper must be highlighted in the Introduction section. What added value this work brings to the existing literature? In addition, who are the target readers of this work? Given that, introductory definitions may need to be provided concerning the important terms used in this paper for readers who are not familiar with the technology or the domain.
2. In the Methodology section, the choice concerning the thematic classification is not justified. Somehow, the three themes: Blockchain features, Charging System, and Smart Contract, do not lie at the same level of consideration. The authors must explain the same.
3. The Discussion section lacks a concrete basis for the analysis of the selected research papers from the literature.
4. For improving the readability of the matter, authors are suggested to incorporate figures, demonstrating the ideas. In addition, a comparative summary of the reviewed research papers can be incorporated into a table.
5. There are some typos and grammatical errors in the paper that need to be addressed. For instance,
 - a. in the Methodology section, 2nd paragraph, Line 3, specifically keywords -> specific keywords
 - b. in the Methodology section, 3rd paragraph from the last, Line 2, such data decentralization -> such as data decentralization
 - c. in the Directions for future research section, Line 2, research that provide -> research that provides