Review of: "Adoption of Technology Acceptance and Interfaces for Academic Information System Applications"

Maurice Aduamoah[1]

1 Kumasi Technical University

1. The Title of the project is well constructed as the independent and the dependent variables could well be seen.

2. The abstract is well written to contain what readers should expect to see. It contains a brief background, objective, methods used to achieve the objective, and the results.

3. Introduction is well written with in-text citations related to the issues under contention. They are also current. The perceived direction is well articulated at the tail end of the introduction.

4. The conceptual framework is the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) for the measurement. The authors well supported the TAM with Pajava et al., 2021.

5. The model measured, 1) perceived usage of technology as H1; 2) perceived usefulness as H2, with appropriate citations as justification.

6. And other variables for measurement.

7. The variables were tested with the appropriate indicators, which proved their authenticity.

8. Analysis of the results is accurate.

9. With these observations on the topic, I strongly recommend it for publication with minor review to check for any grammar.