

# Review of: "[Review] Proposing an integrated decision-making model to enhance the employee-oriented built environment in urban green buildings: A Review"

Roohollah Taherkhani<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Imam Khomeini International University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Congratulations to the authors. The paper is really helpful to study.

I share some points that crossed my mind:

- A clearer focus on research goals and innovation
- The steps of conducting a systematic review are better defined in the form of a flowchart
- Explain why the mentioned databases were chosen? For example, would the result be different if there was no ScienceDirect?
- Explain how the articles were selected?
- How many articles were selected from each database?
- How were duplicate and unrelated items determined and removed?
- How many articles were analyzed in the final step?
- What are the effective articles and journals? And who are the most effective authors?
- Figures that come in the form of a 2-D map, do not provide detailed information and clear numbers should be presented.
- How reliable and accurate are the methods of bibliometric analysis covered in the article?
- According to bibliometric, if another researcher does this research, will he reach the same results?
- In this bibliometric, which type of algorithm is used? (Counting algorithm or fractional algorithm)
- What is the prediction of the future trend of research field?

I wish you the best,

Roohollah Taherkhani