

Review of: "Radical Development of Engineering Institutions in The Industrial Corridors"

Hsin-chang Tsai

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The authors have compiled a lot of information, which is quite impressive. However, the full text looks more like a document review or a program introduction, rather than an action research study. I am trying to raise the following questions and friendly give the following suggestions.

(1) The 1. Introduction spends a lot of space introducing the background, but the proportion is unbalanced. For example, in 1.3 Success Stories of Engineering Colleges Linked to Industries, the reader does not know why/where the success lies?

(2) The content of the 2. Literature Survey is obviously insufficient.

(3) Some of the words, especially in 3. Objective of this research, are logically messy, and it is suggested that the author needs to readjust the structure and method of discussion. Because the authors promote this article as action research, but it is not clear in the article how to achieve the research purpose through action research methods. The authors only introduce many solutions, but it is not clear how the authors develop a framework, how to identify strategies, and what scientific methods they use to suggest methods and identify policy. For example, the policy framework directly quotes other people's research and is not based on the authors' own action research. Many strategies are proposed later. Readers don't know if they are just the introduction of the authors' ideas or the results obtained through actual action research.

(4) Similarly, the discussion should be based on previous research results and dialogue with the literature and the real world, but there is a lot of content in this part that comes from nowhere. For example, have the problems faced by graduates been investigated, or where did the evidence come from?

(5) Action research is a cyclical process with four distinct phases per cycle: plan, act, observe, and reflect. The outcomes from one cycle are used as the basis for continued exploration of practice in the following cycle. Truly, strictly speaking, this paper is not in an action research article format, but only an explanation of the current situation.