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1. In the abstract, please state the method/design of the paper.
2. “Indian medical sciences are considered superior to modern allopathic Treatments”.
   - The above statement is desirable to reformulate. In what is Indian medical science superior? Be more specific. (Allopathy also has its superiority).
3. At the end of the introduction, again state the primary goal of the contribution.
4. Author claims in the conclusion that he “Highlights recent technological advancements in the field of ancient Indian medicine.”
   - We do not see that in the paper. The paper should follow pre-determined structure and that is missing.
5. Generally, the reference should be 5 to 10 years at most. Also, the minimum number of citations for the literature review should be between 8-12 references for every 1,000 words. The paper has around 3,000 words.
   - Please edit accordingly.