

Review of: "The Ancient Indian Knowledge System and the Medical Sciences"

Ivan Uher¹

1 Pavol Jozef Safarik University in Kosice

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. In the abstract, please state the method/design of the paper.
- 2. "Indian medical sciences are considered superior to modern allopathic Treatments".
 - The above statement is desirable to reformulate. In what is Indian medical science superior? Be more specific. (Allopathy also has its superiority).
- 3. At the end of the introduction, again state the primary goal of the contribution.
- 4. Author claims in the conclusion that he "Highlights recent technological advancements in the field of ancient Indian medicine."
 - We do not see that in the paper. The paper should follow pre-determined structure and that is missing.
- 5. Generally, the reference should be 5 to 10 years at most. Also, the minimum number of citations for the literature review should be between 8-12 references for every 1,000 words. The paper has around 3,000 words.
 - · Please edit accordingly.

Qeios ID: NNYDMQ · https://doi.org/10.32388/NNYDMQ