

Review of: "CPTED for a Safe Basti: A Case of Nardan Camp"

Sunny Bose¹

1 The ICFAI University, Hyderabad

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Idea is good but there are certain fundamental aspects of article writing missing that makes it an average read.

First, the author does not define a 'Basti' in the entire article. For Indian readers it might be okay. However, for international readers it could create problem of vague understanding.

Second, the author mentions only 5-6 citations in the Literature Review section of which one is a CPTED guidebook. This hardly makes it a review.

Third, the Aim of the article should be to identify ways of creating safe public places based on the methods of CPTED. Implementation is the domain of policy makers and planners.

Last, the article structuring is poor. Lit. Review is preceded by Aim and Methodology.

Qeios ID: NQWY0T · https://doi.org/10.32388/NQWY0T