

Review of: "From Necro-Politics to Necro-Ecology: framing the current climate environmental politics in the Americas"

Natacha Bruna

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Firstly, I would like to congratulate the authors for such an impressive approach, I learnt a lot and think that it is a very relevant addition to current debates in political ecology. I enjoyed reading the article and would like to suggest the following:

- From a reader's point of view, I believe the abstract would be clearer if it focuses more on the contribution of the article itself rather than on describing the theoretical lenses used.
- I felt the role of financial institutions is quite silent, shouldn't it be more contextualized or discussed? Especially given its importance in today's environmental dynamics, destruction, and profit maximization through financialization based on the environment and biodiversity.
- I understand the importance of approaching the Americas as a whole, but it is important to acknowledge the differences and diversities (power relations, different levels of vulnerability and readiness to climate change, different contributions for the crisis, and so on) within the continent – and what does that mean in terms of analysis and the building of the central argument. There is also a big risk of losing contextual specificities that may have enriched your argument and discussion, as well as impact of the research.
- If the authors wish to improve the methodological aspect of the paper, it would be good to elaborate more on methods and methodology (data collection and analysis, and validation), what data sets were collected and how they help answering your central research question. This will help guarantee the cohesiveness between theory/central argument and data presented and analyzed.