

# Review of: "Caution Signages and Their Relevance in Commuter Safety in High-Density Construction and Traffic Areas of Two Smart Cities in Odisha: A Cross-Sectional Study to Ensure Eco-Mobility"

Efstathios Bouhouras<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Aristotle University of Thessaloniki

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The topic of this paper is not new. There are several scientific papers about road traffic accidents in urbanized areas. The examined literature is focused mainly in Asian countries. Please consider examining more relevant references.

The examined sites were selected randomly. Could you explain the reasons for this choice? Also, please provide information about the selected sites (number of crossing roads, were there traffic lights or not?, were there sidewalks or not? which types of vehicles pass through these sites? were there pedestrian crosswalks? the pedestrian flows were significant or not?, etc.)

Consider describing the methodology followed in more details as currently is not easily understood by the reader. For example, as one of your examined age groups was 11-20 years old, If I understand correctly you asked children and teenagers about issues that they do not have the knowledge (identify the meaning of vertical road signage).

Please consider better explaining the following sentence "*Commuters in the age group of 11-20 years, though at less risk of injury due to slipping, had better knowledge (23.75%) than the other age groups who are at higher risk of injuries due to falls*" as personally cannot understand it.

When you refer to injuries, you mean injuries due to road traffic accidents? If so, please clarify it on the manuscript. Furthermore, if you actually mean injuries due to road traffic accidents, please consider providing more information about the conditions of these accidents and injuries. It would be helpful to describe the main reasons of injuries due to road traffic accidents per each group age and location.

The number of references presented in the Discussion section, is high and that is unusual. Please consider move these references on a new section, entitled Literature Review. On the Discussion section, the findings of your research should be compared to the findings of the studies you examined and used as references.

Your recommendations are on the spot. Please consider describing them in more details.

