

Review of: "A Scale to Measure Social Media Jealousy"

Habibeh Matin¹

¹ Independent researcher

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. In the abstract, the numerical values obtained from the analysis of the findings should be briefly mentioned (A summary of numerical values obtained from exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis). The abstract should be able to provide a useful summary of the article.
2. The cultural and social justification necessary for the universality of the tool should be given at the end of the introduction (Explanation about the socio-cultural extent of the questions).
3. The author must provide a convincing reason for choosing female students because this bias can lead to prophesy and judgment to confirm the existence of this type of jealousy only in girls.
4. Failure to examine jealousy in both sexes is a limitation of the study.
5. Are the students all natives of India? This point should be noted, especially if it is considered an inclusion criterion.
6. Considering the difference between the two dominant religions in India, it should be noted whether people are Muslim or Hindu.
7. In the Ethical Consideration section, mention the compliance of the study with the Declaration of Helsinki.
8. In Table 1, descriptive statistics are not necessary for all questions but should be presented in general.
9. Provide a table showing the demographic characteristics of the participants.
10. The titles of the tables should represent the study.
11. In the Discussion and Conclusion, the limitations are not mentioned, especially whether the removal of questions for determining the content validity has affected the general concepts of the tool.
12. Considering that in the Discussion and Conclusion, the review of different tools is mentioned in the large study, it is better to refer to the results of the Discriminant Validity and Convergent Validity in the results section.