

Review of: "“Saving the Forest” with a REDD+ Project: Socio-Ecological Repercussions on Indigenous People in Cambodia"

Bernardo Jurema¹

¹ Institute for Advanced Sustainability Studies

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This article is promising, although it still requires some work to get there. I offer the following critique with the aim to help the author in that process.

- Key terms such as “green economy” or “green rhetoric” require definition since they
- Too many questions, not clear what the precise research question is. I’d advise you to refine this.
- Engage with critical literature on REDD+, for example, “Reconsidering REDD+: Authority, Power and Law in the Green Economy” (Cambridge University Press, 2021), and “Locating Nature: Making and Unmaking International Law.”
- The paper needs to be situated within REDD+ literature. What are the literature gaps that this paper aims to address?
- The author writes that the objective of the paper is to assess REDD+ policies on “the quality of life – sovereignty, rights” on the indigenous population. However, these variables are not clearly defined nor delineated.
- The structure of the paper reads more like a draft or an outline. The content is interesting and promising, but needs to be better structured and presented.