

Peer Review

Review of: "Reassessing Cervical Cancer Prevention: Evaluating the NHS Cervical Cancer Screening Programme Through the Health Belief Model and Global Health Promotion Strategies"

Osayamen Igunma¹

1. Epidemiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, United States

I enjoyed reading this presentation. It is very enlightening. I like the idea of using the health belief model and its components, which include: Perceived susceptibility, Perceived severity, benefits, barriers, action, and efficacy, to assess women's behavior towards cervical screening. I believe it's a good and objective component; however, as clearly stated, most of the data used for this model is secondary and may be prone to biases such as recall bias and implicit bias, which may affect participants' ability to report and give correct data, as they feel cervical cancer is particular to those with active sexual lives. I also like the fact that potential problems or factors influencing screening based on the stages of the model were clearly stated. Recommendations and policy changes were all-encompassing. Overall, the paper looks great, and I enjoyed reading it. The sections were well highlighted, and it was easy to read and follow.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.