

Review of: "Analysing the conglomeration of various urban pockets through the lens of environmental design for crime prevention: A case of Kolkata"

Gunawan Saroji

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Abstract

The abstract of the paper provides a brief overview of the research topic, but it lacks clarity and conciseness. The abstract should be a succinct summary of the entire paper, including the research problem, methods, results, and conclusions. However, the abstract in this paper is too verbose and does not clearly state the research problem or the methods used. It also does not mention any results or conclusions, which are crucial elements of an abstract.

Introduction

The introduction provides some background information on the topic, but it does not clearly state the research problem or the purpose of the study. The introduction should clearly define the research problem, explain its significance, and state the purpose and objectives of the study. However, the introduction in this paper is too broad and does not clearly define the research problem or state the purpose of the study.

Literature Review

The literature review provides a comprehensive overview of the existing literature on the topic, but it lacks critical analysis. A good literature review should not only summarize the existing literature but also critically analyze it to identify gaps and inconsistencies. However, the literature review in this paper does not critically analyze the existing literature or identify any gaps or inconsistencies.

Methodology

The methodology section is not clearly defined in the paper. A good methodology section should clearly describe the research design, data collection methods, and data analysis methods. However, the methodology section in this paper is not clearly defined, making it difficult to understand how the research was conducted.

Results and Discussions

The results and discussions section is not clearly defined in the paper. A good results and discussions section should clearly present the findings of the study and discuss their implications. However, the results and discussions section in this paper is not clearly defined, making it difficult to understand the findings of the study and their implications.

Conclusion

The conclusion section is not clearly defined in the paper . A good conclusion should summarize the main findings of the study, discuss their implications, and suggest areas for future research. However, the conclusion section in this paper is not clearly defined, making it difficult to understand the main findings of the study and their implications.

Citations and References

The citations and references are not consistently formatted. A good paper should consistently use a specific citation style (e.g., APA, MLA, Chicago) throughout the paper. However, the citations and references in this paper are not consistently formatted, which can confuse readers and make it difficult to locate the cited sources.

Overall

Overall, the paper lacks clarity and precision in its presentation of the research problem, methods, results, and conclusions. The paper also lacks critical analysis in its literature review and does not consistently use a specific citation style. These issues make it difficult to understand the research conducted and the findings of the study. Therefore, the paper needs significant revisions to improve its clarity, precision, and critical analysis.