

Review of: "Blockchain EV Payment Systems: A Systematic Literature Review in Retail Energy Trading"

Dr RAJEEV KUMAR Rajeev Kumar¹

¹ Uttarakhand University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper explained the literature but made no connection to the other sections of the study. The literature does not support the findings. The data analysis method has not been introduced to the normal reader. They said they used systematic literature review but what is it and why are systematic literature review important? It was left out. The paper could be improved to make it different from the previous systematic literature review analysis by addressing specific research questions/objectives to show the readers why they need another bibliometric work. Since systematic literature review analysis is more a quantitative approach, the discussion in the paper should be more elaborated to provide more valuable insights for further research. The methodological steps need to be highly revised. The result was presented clearly, but they do not answer what said by the researchers could do. There are no theoretical implications in their explanations but only suggestions, and also, the explained managerial implications were not subject to their findings. There is a requirement to pass grammar check and follow the journal guidelines. I think that you will have something focused that you can write clearly and concisely.