

# Review of: "Semiosphere and Anthropological Aggression on the Example of the "Memorial Conflict" — Polish-Russian borderland: Warmia"

İlhan Zeynep Karakılıç<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Bahcesehir University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I found the article very interesting and it can be an original contribution to the literature about the how social memory, monuments, and landscapes that social memory inscribed in. While reading the article, I found three areas that need improvement so the contribution of the paper may be fully appreciable.

1. The literature review that the conceptual framework of the article is based on is inadequate. If the author better link his study with the existing literature which is very extensive, the author's positioning may be clearer for the reader. Moreover, most of the reference list consists of the author's own writing which makes the theoretical underpinnings of the article doubtful.
2. The author did not position himself as a fieldworker in the field as much as he did not position himself theoretically. In one place, he refers to being a "Polish military anthropologist", but we don't know any detail about this position. Maybe, we can think that he does not have to be fully objective- the possibility of this another discussion- but as the reader to understand his writing we need to know his position. In this respect, the article must be more reflexive.
3. If the author provides a better and wider geographical and historical context of the area, the article would be more comprehensible for the readers.