

# Review of: "An Empirical Study of Goal Intentions and Monetary Compensation for Reviewers in Information Science"

Karen Renaud<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Strathclyde

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is an interesting study that investigates a pertinent issue. I really liked the novelty of the approach. The findings are very interesting too (speaking as an editor myself).

It is not particularly surprising that people overvalue immediate rewards over future benefits and intentions. This is a well-known phenomenon. Present bias is also a well-known human tendency. What I think you did well was to apply this to reviewing.

I liked the analysis and reports.

You say you only invited corresponding authors of articles published in four top information science journals. In my experience, senior staff do little reviewing. It is usually delegated to more junior colleagues. This might have skewed your results quite significantly. You do acknowledge it, which is great, but I would like you to say how you plan to address this in a future study.

I would suggest, however, that the authors address the following instead of putting it into their limitations: "Analyze whether our results would change as a consequence of the introduction of these corrective measures, although we think that we will possibly obtain the same results." It seems to me that this particular limitation is easily addressed and would improve the quality of the manuscript substantially.