

## Review of: "Numerical Study of Thermal Performance on Fin and Tube Heat Exchanger with Flat Rectangular and Sinusoidal Winglet Vortex Generators"

Seyedhadi Banihashemi

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This article with corrections can be suitable for publication; it is suggested to check and address the following points.

- 1. The abstract should present a rewriting and innovation of the research, methodology, and results.
- 2. Regarding the research gap, the authors had a partial approach.
- 3. What are the reasonable assumptions considered?
- 4. In the literature review section, the presentation of past researchers' work is fleeting and does not give a realistic picture. The innovation of the work should be expressed.

Suggest adding the following references:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2022.108780

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-023-12728-7

https://doi.org/10.1007/s40997-023-00692-4

- 5. What is the reason for using the RNG k-epsilon model to predict heat transfer?
- 6. The details of the numerical method should be explained more.
- 7. Validate the obtained results.
- 8. What is the author's technical and economic proposal regarding the present study?
- 9. Has this work been reviewed in terms of optimizing energy consumption?
- 10. A comparison between the results of previous studies and the results of this work in the mentioned study should be presented.
- 11. What are the specific outputs and achievements of the research?
- 12. The physical results and the relationship between the figures and contours need further discussion.