

# On Solé and Planat criterion for the Riemann Hypothesis

Frank Vega

**Funding:** No specific funding was received for this work.

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

## Abstract

There are several statements equivalent to the famous Riemann hypothesis. In 2011, Solé and Planat stated that the Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if the inequality  $\zeta(2) \cdot \prod_{q \leq q_n} (1 + \frac{1}{q}) > e^\gamma \cdot \log \theta(q_n)$  holds for all prime numbers  $q_n > 3$ , where  $\theta(x)$  is the Chebyshev function,  $\gamma \approx 0.57721$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant,  $\zeta(x)$  is the Riemann zeta function and  $\log$  is the natural logarithm. In this note, using Solé and Planat criterion, we prove that the Riemann hypothesis is true.

**Frank Vega**

*NataSquad, 10 rue de la Paix 75002 Paris, France*

[vega.frank@gmail.com](mailto:vega.frank@gmail.com)

**2020 MSC:** MSC 11M26, MSC 11A41, MSC 11A25

**Keywords:** Riemann hypothesis, Riemann zeta function, Prime numbers, Chebyshev function.

## 1. Introduction

The Riemann hypothesis is the assertion that all non-trivial zeros have real part  $\frac{1}{2}$ . It is considered by many to be the most important unsolved problem in pure mathematics. It was proposed by Bernhard Riemann (1859). The Riemann hypothesis belongs to the Hilbert's eighth problem on David Hilbert's list of twenty-three unsolved problems. This is one of the Clay Mathematics Institute's Millennium Prize Problems. In mathematics, the Chebyshev function  $\theta(x)$  is given by

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{q \leq x} \log q$$

with the sum extending over all prime numbers  $q$  that are less than or equal to  $x$ , where  $\log$  is the natural logarithm.

Leonhard Euler studied the following value of the Riemann zeta function (1734).

**Proposition 1.1.** *It is known that [1], pp. 1070].*

$$\zeta(2) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_k^2}{q_k^2 - 1} = \frac{\pi^2}{6},$$

where  $q_k$  is the  $k$ th prime number (We also use the notation  $q_n$  to denote the  $n$ th prime number).

In mathematics,  $\Psi(n) = n \cdot \prod_{q|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)$  is called the Dedekind  $\Psi$  function, where  $q | n$  means the prime  $q$  divides  $n$ . We say that Dedekind( $q_n$ ) holds provided that

$$\prod_{q \leq q_n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) > \frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(2)} \cdot \log \theta(q_n).$$

Next, we have Solé and Planat Theorem:

**Proposition 1.2.** *Dedekind( $q_n$ ) holds for all prime numbers  $q_n > 3$  if and only if the Riemann hypothesis is true [2], Theorem 4.2 pp. 5].*

A natural number  $N_k$  is called a primorial number of order  $k$  precisely when,

$$N_k = \prod_{i=1}^k q_i$$

We define  $R(n) = \frac{\Psi(n)}{n \cdot \log \log n}$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Dedekind( $q_n$ ) holds if and only if  $R(N_n) > \frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(2)}$  is satisfied. There are several statements out from the Riemann hypothesis condition.

**Proposition 1.3.** *Unconditionally on Riemann hypothesis, there are infinitely many primorial numbers  $N_k$  such that*

$$R(N_k) > \frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(2)} \text{ holds [2], Theorem 4.1 pp. 5].}$$

The following property is based on natural exponentiation:

**Proposition 1.4.** [3], pp. 1]. *For  $x < 1.79$ :*

$$e^x \leq 1 + x + x^2.$$

Putting all together yields a proof for the Riemann hypothesis using the Chebyshev function.

## 2. What if the Riemann hypothesis were false?

Several analogues of the Riemann hypothesis have already been proved. Many authors expect (or at least hope) that it is true. However, there are some implications in case of the Riemann hypothesis might be false.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If the Riemann hypothesis is false, then there are infinitely many prime numbers  $q_n$  for which  $\text{Dedekind}(q_n)$  fails (i.e.  $\text{Dedekind}(q_n)$  does not hold).*

*Proof.* The Riemann hypothesis is false, if there exists some natural number  $x_0 \geq 5$  such that  $g(x_0) > 1$  or equivalent  $\log g(x_0) > 0$ :

$$g(x) = \frac{e^y}{\zeta(2)} \cdot \log \theta(x) \cdot \prod_{q \leq x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1}.$$

We know the bound [2], Theorem 4.2 pp. 5]:

$$\log g(x) \geq \log f(x) - \frac{2}{x}$$

where  $f$  was introduced in the Nicolas paper [4], Theorem 3 pp. 376]:

$$f(x) = e^y \cdot \log \theta(x) \cdot \prod_{q \leq x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

When the Riemann hypothesis is false, then there exists a real number  $b < \frac{1}{2}$  for which there are infinitely many natural numbers  $x$  such that  $\log f(x) = \Omega_+(x^{-b})$  [4], Theorem 3 (c) pp. 376]. According to the Hardy and Littlewood definition, this would mean that

$$\exists k > 0, \forall y_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists y \in \mathbb{N} (y > y_0) : \log f(y) \geq k \cdot y^{-b}.$$

That inequality is equivalent to  $\log f(y) \geq \left(k \cdot y^{-b} \cdot \sqrt{y}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}}$ , but we note that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \left(k \cdot y^{-b} \cdot \sqrt{y}\right) = \infty$$

for every possible positive value of  $k$  when  $b < \frac{1}{2}$ . In this way, this implies that

$$\forall y_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists y \in \mathbb{N} (y > y_0) : \log f(y) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}}.$$

Hence, if the Riemann hypothesis is false, then there are infinitely many natural numbers  $x$  such that  $\log f(x) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$ . Since  $\frac{2}{x} = o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right)$ , then it would be infinitely many natural numbers  $x_0$  such that  $\log g(x_0) > 0$ . In addition, if  $\log g(x_0) > 0$  for some natural number  $x_0 \geq 5$ , then  $\log g(x_0) = \log g(q_n)$  where  $q_n$  is the greatest prime number such that  $q_n \leq x_0$ . Actually,

$$\prod_{q \leq x_0} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1} = \prod_{q \leq q_n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1}$$

and

$$\theta(x_0) = \theta(q_n)$$

according to the definition of the Chebyshev function.  $\square$

### 3. The Main Insight

**Lemma 3.1.** *The Riemann hypothesis is true if the inequality*

$$R(N_{n+1}) \leq R(N_n)$$

*is satisfied for all sufficiently large prime numbers  $q_n$ .*

*Proof.* Since there are infinitely many prime numbers  $q_{n+1} > 5$  such that  $R(N_{n+1}) > \frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(2)}$  holds by Proposition 1.3., then we can guarantee that  $R(N_n) > \frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(2)}$  holds as well when

$$R(N_{n+1}) \leq R(N_n).$$

Hence, if the inequality

$$R(N_{n+1}) \leq R(N_n)$$

holds for all pairs  $(q_n, q_{n+1})$  of consecutive large enough primes such that  $q_n < q_{n+1}$ , then we can confirm that  $\text{Dedekind}(q_n)$  always holds for all large enough prime numbers. Consequently, if the inequality

$$R(N_{n+1}) \leq R(N_n)$$

is satisfied for all sufficiently large prime numbers  $q_n$ , then there won't exist infinitely many prime numbers  $q_n$  such that  $\text{Dedekind}(q_n)$  fails and so, the Riemann hypothesis must be true by Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

### 4. The Main Theorem

**Theorem 4.1.** *The Riemann hypothesis is true.*

*Proof.* Let's distribute the elements of the inequality

$$R(N_{n+1}) \leq R(N_n)$$

to obtain that

$$\theta(q_{n+1}) \geq \theta(q_n)^{1+\frac{1}{q_{n+1}}}.$$

So, the Riemann hypothesis is true when

$$\theta(q_{n+1}) \geq \theta(q_n)^{1+\frac{1}{q_{n+1}}}$$

is satisfied for all sufficiently large prime numbers  $q_n$  by Lemma 3.1. That is the same as

$$e^{\left(1-\frac{1}{q_{n+1}+1}\right)} \geq e^{\frac{\log \theta(q_n)}{\log \theta(q_{n+1})}}.$$

Since we know that

$$e^{\frac{\log \theta(q_n)}{\log \theta(q_{n+1})}} \leq 1 + \frac{\log \theta(q_n)}{\log \theta(q_{n+1})} + \left(\frac{\log \theta(q_n)}{\log \theta(q_{n+1})}\right)^2$$

and

$$e^{\frac{1}{q_{n+1}+1}} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{q_{n+1}+1} + \frac{1}{(q_{n+1}+1)^2}$$

due to  $\frac{\log \theta(q_n)}{\log \theta(q_{n+1})} < 1.79$  and  $\frac{1}{q_{n+1}+1} < 1.79$  by Proposition 1.4. Hence, it is enough to show that

$$e \gg \left(1 + \frac{1}{q_{n+1}+1} + \frac{1}{(q_{n+1}+1)^2}\right) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\log \theta(q_n)}{\log \theta(q_{n+1})} + \left(\frac{\log \theta(q_n)}{\log \theta(q_{n+1})}\right)^2\right)$$

where  $\gg$  means "much greater than". Certainly, that is equivalent to say that

$$(\theta(q_{n+1}))^{\frac{e}{\epsilon}} \gg (\theta(q_{n+1})) \cdot (\theta(q_n))^{1+\frac{1}{x}}$$

holds for all pairs of consecutive large enough prime numbers  $(q_n, q_{n+1})$  such that  $\epsilon$  tends to 1 as  $n$  grows and  $x > 1$  because of

$$\epsilon = \left(1 + \frac{1}{q_{n+1}+1} + \frac{1}{(q_{n+1}+1)^2}\right)$$

and

$$x = \frac{\log \theta(q_{n+1})}{\log \theta(q_n)}$$

where  $y^{\frac{1}{\log y}} = e$  for  $y = \theta(q_{n+1})$ . Consequently, the inequality

$$\theta(q_{n+1}) \geq \theta(q_n)^{1 + \frac{1}{q_{n+1}}}$$

is satisfied for all sufficiently large prime numbers  $q_n$  which means that

$$R(N_{n+1}) \leq R(N_n)$$

holds for large enough natural numbers  $n$  and therefore, the Riemann hypothesis is true.  $\square$

## 5. Conclusions

If the inequality

$$R(N_{n+1}) \leq R(N_n)$$

holds for large enough natural numbers  $n$ , then some conjectures on gaps between consecutive primes, such as the Cramér's conjecture, must be false [5]. Besides, a proof of the Riemann hypothesis is closely related to various mathematical topics such as the distribution of primes, the growth of arithmetic functions, the Lindelöf hypothesis, etc. In general, a proof of the Riemann hypothesis could spur considerable advances in many mathematical areas, such as number theory and pure mathematics.

## Acknowledgments

The author thanks his mother and the closest maternal family members, sponsors Liuva and Emmanuel, and friends Yary, Sonia, Luis and Arelis for their support. The author would also like to thank Patrick Solé and Michel Planat for their helpful support.

## References

- <sup>a</sup> Raymond Ayoub. *Euler and the zeta function*. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 81(10):1067–1086, 1974. doi:10.2307/2319041.
- <sup>a, b, c</sup> Patrick Solé and Michel Planat. *Extreme values of the Dedekind  $\psi$  function*. *Journal of Combinatorics and Number Theory*, 3(1):33–38, 2011.
- <sup>a</sup> László Kozma. *Useful Inequalities*. [http://www.lkozma.net/inequalities\\_cheat\\_sheet/ineq.pdf](http://www.lkozma.net/inequalities_cheat_sheet/ineq.pdf), 2023. Accessed 17 July 2023.
- <sup>a, b</sup> Jean-Louis Nicolas. *Petites valeurs de la fonction d'Euler*. *Journal of number theory*, 17(3):375–388, 1983. doi:10.1016/0022-314X(83) 90055-0.
- <sup>a</sup> Youngju Choie, Michel Planat, and Patrick Solé. *On Nicolas criterion for the Riemann hypothesis*. *arXiv preprint*

*arXiv:1012.3613, 2010.*