

Review of: "Cloud Computing Paradigm in Academics"

Alireza Shirmarz¹

¹ Amirkabir University of Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper has addressed the integration of cloud computing and education and compared the three platforms for it. It is an interesting topic but the comments below can improve the quality and readability of the paper:

1- The abstract needs to refer to what the authors will do in this research. what metrics did they use to evaluate their proposal? and how much they improve the metrics with their proposed architecture/model. these should be mentioned clearly in the abstract.

2- There are many abbreviations in the paper which can make the readability of the paper difficult, so it is recommended a table including abbreviations and its definition be added after the introduction and before the Literature Review. It can help the readers to read it easier. In addition, there are some abbreviations in the paper which need to be stated what they stand for. e.g. XML, SOAP, and ...

3- It is a suggestion that "Introduction" and "Literature Review" should be merged into a section called "Introduction"!

4- The contribution as several bullets should be indicated in the introduction section!

5- The related work is too short! aren't there other research papers on this topic? if it is true, it should be clearly mentioned in the first paragraph of the "related work" section.

6- It is recommended the "Important Cloud Services" section be merged with the "Introduction" section! It makes it difficult for the reader to get introduction information to read the paper!

7- Figures 1- 4 are unclear and opaque. Their quality should be improved!

8- If the figures are from a reference, It must be referenced!

9- There are a few typos and grammatical issues that should be modified across the paper.

10- Finally, the research or survey needs to have an evaluation method which should be expressed in the introduction section and it should be shown than the research could achieve the goal or not! It does not exist in this paper which causes the paper to need to be reorganized.

The comments mentioned above can help the paper to be improved in readability and quality as a scientific paper and then it can be considered for acceptance and publication.

