

# Review of: "Are Pain and Mourning for a Pet and a Family Member the Same?"

Azade Safa<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Kashan University of Medical Sciences

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Review Manuscript "Are Pain and Mourning for a Pet and for a Family Member the Same?" Dear author

Hello

The research topic is interesting.

In the following, it is necessary to pay attention to some points:

Type of research to be added to title: a systematic review

Narrative review is mentioned in the abstract at the end of the introduction.

Specify what the final working method is and write it the same everywhere.

You have only referred to the tables in the abstract.

Please explain briefly without referring to the table or figure.

The abstract alone should be self-explanatory.

Why is there a reference in the abstract? This is not common.

Results are not available in the abstract.

Why is the word "Animal companions" used in the terminology, but the word "pet" is used in the title

In the introduction, it is better to point to different regions of the world to show the importance of the issue, not just two countries.

This issue is more common in the elderly who live alone and is even recommended. State this. Because they are alone, they become more attached to their pet, the loss of which can be more difficult for them.

Reviewing the texts at the end of the introduction can create an interesting challenge in the subject.

In the method, is it registered on the Prospero website? Add number the Prospero database.

Write inclusion and exclusion criteria separately in the text.

Write a search strategy in each database for a separate search (with Boolean operators) in the text.

Systematic review results should be more consistent. They are written in fragments.

Good luck