

Review of: "“Saving the Forest” with a REDD+ Project: Socio-Ecological Repercussions on Indigenous People in Cambodia"

Ajree Ducol Malawani¹

¹ Mindanao State University - Iligan Institute of Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

In response to my initial review comments, the author has effectively addressed several weaknesses identified in the paper. However, one critical aspect that remains unexplored is the inclusion of counterarguments. While the article offers a thorough critique of REDD+ projects, introducing a balanced perspective by acknowledging potential positive aspects or considering counterarguments would enhance the overall objectivity. It is imperative to maintain a sense of neutrality and fairness to uphold the standards of scholarly research.

While the documented repercussions of numerous REDD+ projects are apparent, it is equally crucial to examine their potential positive impacts. A more robust argument can be built by presenting the positive and negative facets of REDD+ initiatives. Striking a balance ensures a more comprehensive analysis and prevents the perception of bias in the author's stance. Reputable researchers should strive for objectivity, and avoiding an exclusive focus on negative impacts contributes to a more credible and well-rounded scholarly discourse.