

Review of: "The Moderating Effects of Urban Design on Willingness to Walk in a Tropical City"

Dominique Mignot¹

¹ IFSTTAR - French Institute of Science and Technology for Transport, Development and Networks, Champs-sur-Marne, French

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is interesting. The questioning is well positioned, and the existing work is well mobilised. However, a few points need to be clarified before publication.

Concerning the three hypotheses cited at the end of the section 'Environmental factors in willingness to walk', hypotheses 1 and 3 seem to be the same hypothesis. Shouldn't there be a single hypothesis?

The sentence 'The hypothesis here is that such locally initiated landscape and building designs would be distinguishable and evaluable.' mentioned by the authors just before figure 1 is very true, but at the same time very limited since the framework of the experiment is only a linear shopping street. It would be interesting, as the authors say in their conclusion, to compare with other environments, such as streets without shops, streets in industrial areas, etc....

In the Analysis section, the authors mention a well-being index and scores, but without providing any further details. Indices and scores should be specified and presented.

Results section: The sentence 'Is there significant variation in the average response across the questions by individual? There are at least three distinct groups of participants by response level, in terms of the 95% confidence of their mean response:' is not very clear.

Similarly, I don't understand the sentence: 'We isolated the willingness question from specific evaluations such that the relationships are more likely at the subconscious level. Since the scale was a perceived comfort scale, higher values indicate higher comfort when considering a particular variable.'

In the discussion section, the authors introduce a comparison with a route inside the shopping mall.

Why is this not mentioned in the method? In addition, the number of people who took part in the indoor route and their characteristics should also be presented here.

Thank you to the authors for clarifying these points for the publication of the article.