

# Review of: "Women in Slum, Risking Their Safety to Access and Usage of Basic Sanitation Facilities-A Literature Review"

Marina Batalini de Macedo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Federal University of Itajubá

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

I appreciate very much the authors to made a literature review on such important topic. Water supply and sanitation policies can usually generate some trade-offs that are not considered by the policy-makers, by unfamiliarity, ignorance or even lack of political will. The example of risks to women due to the provision of sanitation through public toilets is a clear example of that. I hope this paper can help open the eyes of the policy-makers and stakeholders to this possible trade-off.

I have some suggestions to improve the discussions of the paper. When presenting the results of the PRISMA, I also think it would be interesting to have a first analysis of the geographical location of the authors of the publication and also the geographical location of the case study analyzed. You already have some preliminary analysis of this on table 1, but I think it would be nice to have this on a map or even on a graph, presenting the number of papers for each continent. My suggestion on that comes because I am from a developing country (Brazil) and I think our reality in sanitation in slums are quite different from what was presented in your literature review, and can pose different risks to womens. And for what I verified from table 1, there weren't studies from Latin America. So, I think one suggestion that you can give by the end of your study as well is to expand this review to the specificities of different contexts of the regions, does it change if we are talking about Africa, Asia and Latin America? In what sense and why?