Review of: "[Review] Redefining the Concept of e-Government Program. A Review of the Literature"

Diana Teresa Parra-Sánchez

The paper is focused on redefining the concept of e-Government, considering six concepts.

The keywords *"redefine"* and *"comprehensive"* should not be included in the list since they do not represent the content of the article.

The "Background" section should be presented after the introduction (including as much detail about the selected studies as possible).

In the introduction section, it is recommended to include more references that support the assertions presented by the author.

The author mentions that electronic government is only defined by information and communication technologies. For example, the IEEE Thesaurus shows that e-government is a more specific term for government, referring to incorporating digital technologies to facilitate processes, for example *(UF: E-government BT: Government).* Without delving into the term, electronic government is related to the digital transformation of government. I suggest exploring more definitions of electronic government.

The document does not clearly explain how the e-government conceptual framework was proposed. Although the author states in the title that it is a literature review, the content of the article differs greatly from what is expected to be seen in a literature review. Additionally, the references presented are old. The document needs to show how the concept of e-government has evolved in recent years. In this sense, the author must conduct an exhaustive literature review. Likewise, the author must present the steps followed for the conceptual framework proposal and validation.