

## Review of: "HUME, Paradigms, and the Debate on Psi"

Winfried Nöth<sup>1</sup>

1 Universität Kassel

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is well-written and coherently argued. The general argument is plausible. The review of relevant literature is comprehensive.

However, there is an important gap that needs to be filled before the paper can be published. The author ignores the famous paper by Charles Sanders Peirce "Hume on Miracles" of c. 1901, available in Peirce's Collected Papers, §§ 6.522-6.547 and the literature concerning Peirce's argument, e.g.

Robert H. Ayers. **C. S.** "**Peirce on Miracles**", Transactions of the Charles S. Peirce Society, <u>Vol. 16</u>, <u>No. 3 (Summer, 1980)</u>, pp. 242-254.

C. Legg "Naturalism and wonder: Peirce on the logic of Hume's argument against miracles" (https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/29194829.pdf)

etc.

If the author is able to incorporate adequately this literature ignored in the present state of his paper, his paper should be publishable.

Qeios ID: OQIWMK · https://doi.org/10.32388/OQIWMK