

Review of: "Severe Cutaneous Adverse Drugs Reactions: From Causes To Mechanisms"

Seema Mahesh

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

This is a good effort at reviewing the dermatological adverse reactions from various drugs. However, the following points need to be addressed.

- The grammar needs to be refined
- The authors must mention the objective of this review and write a conclusion in keeping with the objective
- Study design must be mentioned in the title
- Reference required for "expansion of pharmaceutical market from "2000 till date"
- Reference required for "no drug is free of side effects" sentence
- The review design needs to be described in a structured form so the reader understands it better. For example, study design: is it literature review or systematic review?; platforms searched; search strategy; how many documents were obtained? how were they included for the review? why were the excluded ones excluded? what kind of reports were included (case reports/reviews/systematic reviews/ clinical trials/observational studies etc) and from what date to what.
- The included papers and books must be listed with the drug and the adverse reaction they are addressing in a table.
- There is some information already regarding the above but they need to be organised in a form that is easily accessible to the reader.
- In the last 1 year there have been 87 reviews/systematic reviews/meta analysis on this topic. Author must justify writing another one in the objective of the paper and elaborate on that in the discussion.
- "It is also important for all healthcare professionals to adequately and promptly report these ADRs by filling in the paper forms from the AIFA website or through the free access portal "vigifarmaco" to keep the data updated on the real incidence of such cases" - This sentence suddenly comes up in the conclusions. Typically conclusions should not bring in new information but summarise the author's opinion based on the review. It is better to explore these databases and discuss them in discussion.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this paper. I wish the authors all the best