

Peer Review

Review of: "signwriting-evaluation: Effective Sign Language Evaluation via SignWriting"

Michèle Gouiffès¹

1. University of Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

The paper is highly interesting and addresses an important topic. It is really valuable to propose this kind of toolkit.

However, the introduction could be improved by adding more contextual information. For instance, it would be helpful to provide further explanation about the use of SignWriting. Expanding on the phrase "Despite its potential to enhance sign language processing" with a brief summary of its advantages would add clarity.

Additionally, the introduction could more clearly state that the study's objective is to evaluate the automatic transcription of videos or texts into SignWriting. Including a diagram to illustrate the stages of the evaluation process could further enhance understanding.

Finally, the distinction between transcription and translation in this context should be clarified.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.