

Review of: "Healthcare Systems Moving Toward Data Governance-Centered Model: India's G20 Presidency in the Wake of COVID"

Camilla Gjellebæk¹

¹ Østfold University College

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

First of all - thanks for the opportunity to read the manuscript "Healthcare Systems Moving Toward Data Governance-Centered Model: India's G20 Presidency in the Wake of COVID". The topic is interesting, but the text should be further developed and tightened up to clarify the article's contribution.

Questions and reflections to the manuscript:

- The abstract appears as a start to a background description/introduction rather than a traditional abstract that presents a short summary of the article's content with introduction, method, results, and discussion/conclusion. With a clearer, more traditionally structured abstract, the reader will be better prepared for what to expect in the article.
- The title of the first sub-chapter indicates that India's role as presidency of the G20 in 2023 is a crucial part of the background for the article: "An alignment of expertise, leadership, and practices is required to synthesize knowledge and experience to assess capacities and avenues of data governance and the way it can make healthcare systems inclusive and accessible in terms of better patient experience and cost. Here, India's G20 presidency has a constructive role to play". The article should start with an introduction that provides a concise introduction to the field as well as summarizing previous research, and then presenting a problem formulation or purpose as well as the article's contribution. From the text, it appears that the need/background is mainly related to a need for health systems to make a shift towards an increased degree of data governance, and that the link to India's presidency of the G20 is that it provides an opportunity, push factor and an arena for "alignment of expertise, leadership, and practices" that India can use when building a model for data governance. The aim and contribution of the article must be clarified.
- The next two sub-chapters describe and partially reiterate arguments for digital health and data governance, as well as elements that must be in place to succeed. If the premise of the article is that India, through the presidency of the G20, should be able to contribute to the development of data governance (in India and possibly internationally), then it should be specified what is being done.
- The conclusion brings in interesting elements such as involvement of the private sector and skills migration, which could usefully have been elucidated earlier in the article. Some of the elements in the conclusion could be considered moved to the introduction and main part, and then more refining the conclusion as a summary of the discussion and emphasizing the contribution of the article.

