

Review of: "Participatory budgeting for public involvement in environmental sustainability at a Thai university"

Njo Anastasia¹

¹ Universitas Kristen Petra

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The study on budgeting participation that involves university students is an interesting topic. This study will have a better foundation if the positive or negative phenomenon that happens in Thai University is shown as the background of the study. If any, the weakness of this study regarding this topic may also be discussed more deeply.

The research methodology has also been explained in detail; however, it would improve the writing to explain the reason to choose the qualitative method, namely the case study. Though this method might have some advantage, this method might have some weaknesses, which includes the possibility of the respondent giving a biased opinion, and the data analysis requiring more time since not all respondent are willing to take part in the study. The explanation regarding the sampling technique and research method will give a better insight into the development of such qualitative study.

The informant that gives the opinion ought to be mentioned in the gathered data description, such as Neuman, 2014 [Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches, 7th ed.]. The data display will be more interesting if shown through an image or table, to indicate findings that have the same or contradicting opinion, making it easier to understand the findings. In the discussion, it is stated that the respondent has no experience with PB, thus what impact occurs as a result of that finding? The author can explain in discussion section. Thank you.