

Review of: "An analysis of the Sociology of Religion of Plecit Bank activities in traditional Indonesian markets"

Erond L. Damanik¹

¹ Universitas Negeri Medan

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for trusting me to review this article. Interesting article, and some of my general reviews and suggestions are (1) cite from the page correctly; (2) departing from the latest international and national social phenomena, and (3) using appropriate social theory for analysis. For example, (1) written, Kompasiana 2015, usually there is an author and the author's name cited, (2) Plecit Bank, is this the same as a "cooperative" in the non-formal sense, or "loan shark"? please explain! (3) written by Simon & Sele (without year of reference), (4) Zaluchu, Usmanij & Ritten (Zaluchu, Usmanij, and Ratten 2021) there is repetition, (5) I did not find this phenomenon shown by research informants. It should show the average number of informants involved in this case. Then, why are they trapped in this case. Is this forced, or what?. Please explain in one or several special cases and conclude why these cases are rampant? (6) I can't imagine if compulsion was the reason for participating in Bank Plecit compared to religious epistemology. Isn't this contradicting? Does this study have similarities with Max Weber's work on sociological interpretations of religion, especially the Protestant ethic of economic development (in this study entrepreneurs), (7) this study is actually interesting. Moreover, debating the economy with religion. However, please use social theories as an analytical tool.