

# Review of: "Diversity of the Ulidiidae Family (Insecta: Diptera)"

Alper Polat<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Bingöl University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

1- I think it would be better if the abstract part is revised and rewritten. The first paragraph in the abstract section (Ulidiidae makes...) is like an introduction, it would be better if this paragraph was in the introduction section or morphology section rather than the abstract section.

2- This part (The methodological paragraph) would be better if it was a bit shorter.

3- Ulidiidae can be added to Keywords.

4- It would be better if there is more general information about the family in the introduction.

5- The definition can be expanded a little more in the Description section.

6- In this section (Traits Common to Ulidiidae), it would be better if it is indicated whether the descriptions of the morphology of the insect were made by the author or taken from the source.

7- The identification information of the pictures (For example: Directional Terms Picture, Anterior Head Picture, Lateral Head Picture, Lateral Thorax....) should be explained a little more by mentioning their characteristics, their features should be specified, I think that only specifying the characteristics of the species may not be sufficient in terms of biodiversity.

8- In this section (Bioecology), the bio-ecological features can be further elaborated and expanded.

9- In this section (1.5- Taxonomy) it would be better to give information about the general structure of the family Ulidiidae and then give information about the family Tephritidae. (In this context, the information in Figure 23 could be placed here)

10- The information in this section (Figure 33 and below - Inside the damage area ....) could be placed behind *Euxesta* sp, which would improve the text.

11- The conclusion (4. Conclusion) should be further developed and expanded.

12- It would be better if the literature could be increased and more recent literature could be included.

## General evaluation

1. The pictures given belong to different species and there is not much information about these species, the subject and

concept integrity of the publication should be developed more, attention should be paid to these to ensure the integrity of the article.

2. I think the article should be considered holistically and needs to be developed further.