

Review of: "Surgical Considerations For Vitreous Opacities"

Gabriel Castilho

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The author reviews the literature on the concept of floaters, as well as on ways to manage symptomatic patients. The manuscript is well written, covering relevant information. Despite this, it needs improvement in some points:

1. I suggest putting “%” instead of “percent” in the whole manuscript.
2. 1st paragraph, 2nd phrase: “They are considered (REMOVE “TO BE”) fairly common (76% in a survey of healthy and (REMOVE “AS WELL AS”) relatively young mobile phone users) and frequently cause substantial visual disruption (33% in the same survey).
3. 1st paragraph 3rd phrase: You must mention the initials VO (vitreous opacities) in this sentence.
4. From the moment you cite the initials of an abbreviation, you must cite only the initials in the body of the manuscript. (This does not happen sometimes, as for example with "posterior vitreous detachment"). Please note the initials and rephrase the text.
5. “Several studies have been published investigating the effectiveness of YAG laser vitrolysis for vitreous opacities, although there is no agreement in the literature about YAG laser procedures or the evaluation of vitreous opacities.” (CORRECT THE WORD VITREOLYSIS).
6. Correct the following sentence: “Furthermore, photoablation using nanoparticles is a nanotechnology-based strategy to locally ablate them with far less light energy than typical YAG laser treatment.”
7. “When not accompanied by other disorders, including uveitis and maybe even rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD)..”: The initials RRD must be previously cited in the manuscript. You must correct these “initials” issues.
8. “In addition to the curtain impact of the detached retina..”: Change CURTAIN IMPACT for RELATIVE SCOTOMA.
9. “Transconjunctival sutureless pars plana vitrectomy is now the most routinely utilized surgical procedure in TREATING (EXCLUDE the treatment of) vitreous opacities.”
10. <https://doi.org/10.5935/0004-2749.2021-0395> This is a recent publication with relevant content that I suggest including in the manuscript.
11. In my opinion, the entire "Surgical Management of Diabetic Retinopathy" section should be removed, as it presents a different rationale for the management of vitreous floaters.