

Peer Review

Review of: "signwriting-evaluation: Effective Sign Language Evaluation via SignWriting"

Yulia Kumar¹

1. Computer Science and Technology, Kean College, United States

This is a relevant topic, and the paper has interesting new metrics. I noticed a lack of paper artefacts and a short results section. I suggest adding a table in which new metrics will be compared with previously existing ones, and all authors' discoveries will be at-a-glance emphasized there. Also, I would add a figure with the overall methodology of the study, its roadmap.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.