

Peer Review

Review of: "GenAI at the Edge: Comprehensive Survey on Empowering Edge Devices"

Surabhi Singh¹

1. O. P. Jindal Global University, Sonapat, India

The paper lacks novelty. The authors are advised to develop a more robust methodological approach to enhance the originality and contribution of the study.

While the study provides a concise summary of recent efforts to optimize Generative AI for deployment on edge devices, the paper currently lacks sufficient novelty. The topic of edge deployment for GenAI, although relevant and timely, has been widely explored in recent literature through surveys and technical reviews. The contribution of this manuscript appears to be primarily descriptive, offering a high-level overview without introducing a distinct analytical framework, comparative evaluation, or novel taxonomy that would set it apart from existing work.

To enhance the originality and academic contribution of the paper, the authors are advised to adopt a more rigorous methodological approach. I suggest that the authors clearly articulate the unique angle of their survey and ground their discussion within a structured methodological framework.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.